



Konfliktforschung I

Kriegsursachen im historischen Kontext

Computersimulation und Konfliktforschung am Beispiel von GeoSim

Prof. Dr. Lars-Erik Cederman
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Center for Comparative and International Studies (CIS)
lcederman@ethz.ch


Assistenz: Sabrina Gantenbein
sabrina.gantenbein@hotmail.com

Agenda


- Einführung in die agenten-basierte Modellierung
- Schellings Segregations-Model
- Einführung in Geosim
- Anwendungen in der Konfliktforschung

Definition

- ABM ist eine computergestützte Forschungsmethode, die es dem Forscher erlaubt, künstliche Welten zu kreieren, diese zu analysieren und damit zu experimentieren.
- Bottom-up
- Basiert auf zellulären Automata und verteilter künstlicher Intelligenz



Disaggregierte Modellierung



Blick vom Berliner Fernsehturm



Ethnische Stadtteile




Chinatown, New York



Klein-Italien, San Diego


Nachbarschafts-Segregation

Die Spielregeln




*Ein Agent bleibt,
wenn min. 1/3 der
Nachbarn „seiner
Art“ ist*

$< 1/3$



*Ansonsten zieht er auf
eine freie, angenehmere
Position um*




Thomas C. Schelling
Nobelpreis für Wirtschaft
2005

Vorführung1


[Schelling.mov](#)

Ergebnisse des Models

Zahl der
Nachbarschaften



Zufriedenheit



Europa um 1500



Europa um 1900




“States made war and war made the state” – Charles Tilly



GeoSim

- GeoSim nutzt Repast, ein Java-Werkzeug
- Staaten sind hierarchische, begrenzte Akteure, die in einem dynamischen, gitterbasierten Netzwerk interagieren




Vorführung 2


[GeoSim.mov](#)

Emergente Ergebnisse

Anzahl der Staaten




Anteil der sicheren Gebiete




Mögliche Equilibria



*Multipolarität
mit 15 Staaten
(Vorführung)*



*Multipolarität
mit 7 Staaten*



Bipolarität



Unipolarität


Modeling conflict with ABM

	Configurations	Processes
Qualitative properties	Example 3. Democratic peace	Example 4. Emergence of the territorial state
Distributional properties	Example 2. State-size distributions	Example 1. War-size distributions


Cederman 2003. "Modeling the Size of Wars."
American Political Science Review 97:135-150.

Cumulative war-size plot, 1820-1997

Data Source:
Correlates
of War
Project (COW)




Theory: Self-organized criticality




- Slowly driven systems that fluctuate around state of marginal stability while generating non-linear output according to a power law.
- Examples: sandpiles, semi-conductors, earthquakes, extinction of species, forest fires, epidemics, traffic jams, city populations, stock market fluctuations, firm size

Self-organized criticality




Per Bak's sand pile




Power-law distributed avalanches in a rice pile

War clusters in Geosim




$t = 3,326$



$t = 10,000$

Simulated cumulative war-size plot

2




See “Modeling the Size of Wars” *American Political Science Review* Feb. 2003

Modeling conflict with ABM

	Configurations	Processes
Qualitative properties	Example 3. Democratic peace	Example 4. Emergence of the territorial state
Distributional properties	Example 2. State-size distributions	Example 1. War-size distributions


Cederman 2003. “Explaining State Sizes: A Geopolitical Model.”
Proceedings of Agent 2003, eds. Macal, North & Sallach. Argonne.

2. Modeling state sizes: Empirical data




Data: Lake et al.

Simulating state size with terrain



Simulated state-size distribution




Modeling conflict with ABM

	Configurations	Processes
Qualitative properties	Example 3. Democratic peace	Example 4. Emergence of the territorial state
Distributional properties	Example 2. State-size distributions	Example 1. War-size distributions



Cederman, L-E & K S Gleditsch. 2004. "Conquest and Regime Change"
International Studies Quarterly 48:603-629.

Simulating global democratization



Source:
Cederman &
Gleditsch 2004



A simulated democratic outcome

 $t = 0$  $t = 10,000$

Replications with regime change




Modeling conflict with ABM

	Configurations	Processes
Qualitative properties	Example 3. Democratic peace	Example 4. Emergence of the territorial state
Distributional properties	Example 2. State-size distributions	Example 1. War-size distributions


Cederman & Girardin 2010. “Growing Sovereignty”
International Studies Quarterly 54: 27-48.

Taxation in a linear state





Results from the linear model


- **Proposition 1.**
Assuming exponential decay, direct rule is always more efficient
- **Proposition 2.**
Assuming a step function, indirect rule is more efficient for low threshold values x^*




The initial state of OrgForms




Modeling technological change




OrgForms: A dynamic network model




Indirect rule in the “Middle Ages”




Direct rule in the modern system




Replications with moving threshold and slope




Exploring geopolitics using agent-based modeling




GeoSim 0



OrgForms



GeoSim 4



GeoContest

Toward more realistic models of civil wars

- Our strategy:
 - Step I: extending Geosim framework
 - Step II: conducting empirical research
 - Step III: back to computational modeling

Step I: Nationalist insurgency model

Use agent-based modeling to articulate identity-based mechanisms of insurgency

